



UNIVERSITÀ  
DEGLI STUDI  
DI MILANO



UNIVERSITATEA  
BABEŞ-BOLYAI

## PRISON OVERCROWDING AND ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION

Please send your feedback on the the outputs of the research at [info@prisonovercrowding.eu](mailto:info@prisonovercrowding.eu)"

Name:

Occupation:

Concerning these criteria, the project activities are:	Unacceptable	Poor	Good	Very good	Excellent
<b>1. Relevance of data and results:</b> how much relevant and adequate in relation to the goals pursued are the data collected and the results achieved?					
<b>2. Actuality of results:</b> are the results achieved still valid? If so, to what extent?					
<b>3. Completeness of data and results:</b> how much thorough and complete are the data collected and the results achieved?					
<b>4. Consistency of activities and outputs:</b> are the activities carried on and the outputs produced consistent with the overall goal and the intended impacts and effects? If so, to what extent?					
<b>5. Reliable data:</b> are the data collected adequate and sufficiently reliable for their intended use? If so, to what extent?					
<b>6. Effectiveness of data collection strategy and activities:</b> were the strategy adopted to collect data and the activities carried on during the project effective?					
<b>7. Efficiency of data collection strategy and activities:</b> were the activities carried on cost-efficient? Was the project implemented in the most efficient way compared to the alternatives available?					
<b>8. Timing of the project:</b> could be the objectives pursued by the project achieved on time?					
<b>9. General accuracy of research methodology:</b> were the methodology and the approach followed during the project diligent and accurate? If so, to what extent?					

<b>10. Systematic analysis:</b> were the data collected and the results of the activities carried on during the project appropriately and systematically analysed, according to the state of art in the field examined? If so, to what extent?					
<b>11. Validity and credibility of conclusions:</b> are the conclusions based on credible results? Do the conclusions follow logically from, and are they justified by, data analysis and interpretations based on carefully described assumptions and rationale? Did the project provide clear conclusions?					
<b>12. Impact and usefulness of results:</b> to what extent has the project produced results after its conclusion? Has the project activities made real differences to the beneficiaries? Were many people affected by the results achieved?					
<b>13. Sustainability of results:</b> are conclusions and guidelines fair and sufficiently detailed to be operationally applicable? To what extent are the project activities continuing to produce benefits after donor funding ceased?					

**The overall quality rating of the project is considered:**